



TO: RFP 18-005-8 Participants
FROM: Adam Bustos, Purchasing Director
DATE: September 29, 2017
RE: **Addendum 1**

Please acknowledge Addendum I in your response proposals (Attachment 1).

Section A

1. What are the existing or emerging criminal justice systems referred to in Section 3:1,B? **This is in reference to any new systems that may be used. However, at time of RFP there has been no identified system that has come out that would be similar to databases such as NCIC.**
2. Would the Police Department like to be able to make NCIC queries from both the RMS and CAD? **CAD Only**
3. Can you provide a contact at Banner that we could work with to spec out an interface to the RMS? **Please research and identify whether or not your RMS has integrated into Ellucian Banner system at any other University and provide a narrative of how it's done. Due to budget constraints, this may not be an option for the University, but would like to know that it is possible with your solution. Our current Banner representative is:**
Django Mulholland | Inside Sales Representative | 4375 Fair Lakes Ct, Fairfax, Virginia 22033, USA | O:+1.703.259.2827 | Django.mulholland@ellucian.com
4. Are silent dispatch and AVL capabilities desired in the quote? **If this is a feature that can be integrated into system, please include and identify the pricing as a line item option.**
5. The RFP calls for 25 users. Can you break that down how many RMS user and how many dispatch users there will be? We do not use concurrent licensing. **8 Dispatch users, 17 RMS users**
6. The RFP calls for a Civil module and also a booking module. It is our understanding that the PD does not serve civil papers or have a Jail. Do you still want us to quote Civil and Jail modules? **Please remove the Civil Modules and Booking Modules from the response.**

Section B

1. The funding for this project will be provided through a Federal Grant. As such, we cannot assign any New Mexico Resident or New Mexico Resident Veteran preference to the RFP. Subsequently, attachments 3 and 4 can be removed from consideration and other portion of this RFP that assigns any preference to local vendors.

